

2024 ENGINEERING INSTITUTION OF ZAMBIA SYMPOSIUM

DECISION MAKING FOR AN OPTIMIZED COBALT AND COPPER DISSOLUTION AS ASSISTED BY THE MULTI-CRITERIA ANALYSIS

PRESENTER: Bienvenu MBUYADATE: April 2024

Avani Victoria Falls Resort, Livingstone, Zambia

Outline of the presentation

Context of the study Motivation of the study Aim and objectives Background Methodology Findings and discussions Conclusion



- Context of the study
- The system being studied is the leaching (dissolution) of Cu-Co ore
- The leaching system is modeled as a MIMO (multiple-input and multiple-output)





- Context of the study (cont'd 1)
- In this system (leaching of ore),

Some input parameters may exhibit interactions

Some output parameters or responses may be correlated

Certain input and output variables may have dependencies or independences



- Context of the study (cont'd 2)
- Schematically





- Motivation of the study
- To improve the dissolution of Cu-Co ore, MCDA is employed

MCDA allows us to select one or more optimal solutions, in the **Pareto** sense, from a discrete set of possible solutions.

MCDA is used for the following reasons:

✓ It enables the representation and hierarchical decomposition of complex problems into separate elements.



✓ It logically groups and classifies elements according to coherent and logical criteria.

- Aim and objectives
- The purpose of this study is to optimize and analyze the factors that influence the recovery of Cu and Co.

• The specific objectives of the study are as follows:

✓ To examine the relationship between input and output variables.



- Aim and objectives (cont'd)
- The specific objectives of the study are as follows:
 - ✓ To assess the influence of each input parameter on the dissolution of Cu and Co
 - ✓ To establish the criteria for optimizing the recovery of Cu and Co.
 - ✓ To determine the optimal conditions for the dissolution of Cu and Co from a complex ore.



• Background

- Tapia et al., (2023) have drawn up a table showing how uncertainty is assessed in sustainable system planning using multi-criteria decision analysis methods
- Mbuya and Mulaba (2023) suggested MCDM (multicriteria decision making) methods by showing the importance of using these methods to study a leaching system, with multiple inputs and multiple outputs (MIMO), optimized by the response surface methodology (RSM) and artificial neural networks (ANN).



- Background (cont'd 1)
- Baloyi and Meyer (2020) evaluated multi-criteria decision methods in detail to develop a mining method selection model.
- Among multicriteria decision methods, the Analytical hierarchy process (AHP) method is well known, very popular, widely used and documented (Gass and Rapcsak, 2004; Mardini et al., 2015; Perzina and Ramik, 2014; Patia et al., 2023).
- Several works that have used AHP have been reported in the literature (Rauscher, 2003; Kostagiolas, 2012; Abdullah et al., 2013; Saranya et al., 2021).



- Background (cont'd 2)
- AHP was developed by Saaty and then extensively studied and refined (Saaty and Peniwati, 2008; Saaty, 2008).
- The AHP method integrates various criteria to arrive at a justified choice of technology. When the decision is made using this method, it is considered to be rational, systematic, and correct.
- It provides a complete and rational framework for structuring decision-making, representing, and evaluating elements, in order to link them to objectives and evaluate alternative solutions (Roy, 2005).



- Methodology
- The laboratory conducted leaching tests by combining varying quantities of crushed ores, which passed through a 75 μ m sieve, with sulfuric acid (98% H₂SO₄) in a 1000 mL beaker.
- These tests followed the Taguchi L_{25} experimental design (5⁵).
- The parameters investigated included time (2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, and 4 h), percentage of solid (20, 25, 30, 35, and 40%), stirring speed (500, 600, 700, 800, and 900 rpm), sulfuric acid concentration (40, 50, 60, 70, and 80 g/L), and Fe²⁺ ion concentration (2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 g/L). Mechanical agitation was used, and the temperature was maintained at 60 °C.



- Methodology (cont'd 1)
- Multi-criteria decision analysis is used in conjunction with the Taguchi approach to optimize the leaching process of Cu-Co ore. Among the various MCDA methods, the Analytic Hierarchy **Process** (AHP) is the one utilized in this study.
- The 5 steps of AHP are: (1) Establish the hierarchical structure,
 (2) Perform binary weightings, (3) Determine the eigenvectors, (4)
 Calculate the consistency ratio (CR) and, (5) Establish final priorities



- Methodology (cont'd 2)
- Three hierarchical levels have been established. Level 1 focuses on the objective, which is the optimization of Cu dissolution. Level 2 examines the parameters under study, namely time, solid percentage, agitation, acidity, and ferrous ion concentration, and compares them to the objective.
- Lastly, Level 3 delves into the specific terms of each parameter. For instance, in the case of acidity, the values considered are 40, 50, 60, 70, and 80 g/L.



• Methodology (cont'd 3)

of Zambi



- Methodology (cont'd 4)
- Pairwise comparisons are carried out for the second and third level of the hierarchy following the Saaty comparison scale. They are carried out based on the signal/noise ratios previously obtained with the Taguchi method.
- For each comparison, we chose the most important criterion and expressed the judgment as to its importance.



- Findings and discussions
- The chemical and mineralogical composition of the composite ore sample are shown in Table 1

ore SiO₂ CaO Elements Cu Co Fe Zn Pb MgO Mn 3.49 **Percentage** 4.21 0.07 0.01 57.03 4.74 0.20 0.91 5.84 (%)





- Findings and discussions (cont'd 1)
- The analysis of the results by the hierarchical analysis process method is done with the objective of **optimizing** the **solubilization** of copper, while choosing the best criteria and sub-criteria in relation to the higher hierarchical level.
- Examination of the sub-criteria shows us that optimal solubilization of copper is obtained at :
 - Time = 2 h; Solid percentage = 20 %;
 - Stirring speed = 600 rpm; Acidity = 80 g/L;
 - Fe^{2+} ion = 2 g/L



- Findings and discussions (cont'd 2)
- Pairwise comparison of criteria, Comparison judgment matrix, Priority, Eigenvalue λ_{max} , Random Index (RI), Consistency index (CI), Consistency ratio (CR).

Table 2: Complete comparison of criteria for copper solubilization

| Comparison of criteria | % Solid | H_2SO_4 | Time | Agitation | Fe ²⁺ | Priority |
|------------------------|---------|--------------|------|-----------|------------------|----------|
| % Solid | 1 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0.49 |
| H_2SO_4 | 1/2 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 0.33 |
| Time | 1/7 | 1/5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0.09 |
| Stirring | 1/8 | 1/7 | 1/2 | 1 | 2 | 0.06 |
| rate | | | | | | |
| Fe ²⁺ | 1/9 | 1/8 | 1/3 | 1/2 | 1 | 0.04 |
| $\lambda - 5.12$ | | CI = 0.03122 | | | CP = 2.78 % | |

• Conclusions

- It has been demonstrated how this approach assists in making rational decisions regarding the optimization of the leaching process for a composite of Cu-Co ores.
- It is therefore possible to improve the dissolution of a process by synergistic association of optimization methods well established in hydrometallurgy such as the Taguchi method.





THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

